



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/068,299	02/06/2002	Fiona M. Wood	23231.0006U2	8540	
22859	7590 06/30/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			WITZ, JEAN C		
FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A. 200 SOUTH SIXTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SUITE 4000			1651	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/068,299	WOOD ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
TI. MALLINO DATE	Jean C. Witz	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 23. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 24. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 25. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 26. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 26. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 27. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 28. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 29. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 29. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20. **The oath or declaration is object	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

Art Unit: 1651

methods.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.
 121:
 - Claims 1-4, drawn to a method of preparing a cell suspension, classified in class 424, subclass 93.7.
 - II. Claims 5-6, drawn to a cell suspension, classified in class 424, subclass 93.7.
 - III. Claims 7-9, drawn to methods of using a cell suspension, classified in class 424, subclass 93.7.
 - IV. Claims 10-13, drawn to an apparatus for developing a tissue regeneration solution, classified in class 424, subclass 283.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Groups I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:

 (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, a cell suspension can be made by a materially different process such as cell culture
- 3. Inventions of Groups II and III are related as product and process of use.

 The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can

Application/Control Number: 10/068,299

Art Unit: 1651

be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, cell suspensions can be used for a materially different process such as cellular assays.

- 4. Inventions of Groups II and IV are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the product can be made by a materially different apparatus such as separate heating and filtration apparatuses.
- 5. Inventions of Groups I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/068,299 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean C. Witz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0927. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1651

free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1651